

MEMORANDUM FOR PATRICIA W. SILVEY

Deputy Assistant Secretary for

Mine Safety and Health Administration

THROUGH: TIMOTHY R. WATKINS

Administrator for

Mine Safety and Health Enforcement

FROM: THOMAS W. CHARBONEAU

Director, Office of Assessments

SUBJECT: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)

Accountability Division Review

Pineville District

and

#### Introduction

This memorandum summarizes the Accountability Division's review of the Pineville District and the

The review focused on enforcement activities at the

; however, this District has inspection oversight for both coal and metal and nonmetal mines. The review also included evaluation of District and Field Office field activities, level of enforcement, and MSHA supervisory and managerial oversight activities.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this accountability review was to determine whether MSHA enforcement policies, procedures, and guidance were being followed consistently and to assess whether mission critical enforcement activities were accomplished.

#### Overview

Accountability Division Spectified annual accountability revinspectors as part of the "One Inspection (E01) at the completed . To Office oversight as identified to travel restrictions resulting portion of the review could necessity.	iew plan schedule and MSHA" initiative. The review also included in the attached Accept from the March 202	nd to measure the effection.  The review focused on (ID No.)  Ided other inspection action in the countability Division che	veness of cross-trained a Regular Safety and Health ), Event No, ivities and District and Field cklist (Attachment A). Due
Mine Site Information			
The facility is a employs approximately		eration located in	ginia. It on shift and one eight-hour

is transported to the facility by barge,

Review Results

had historically inspected coal operations. Under the "One MSHA" initiative the office acquired responsibilities to inspect metal and nonmetal operations. The inspectorate was cross-trained to inspect the metal and nonmetal properties. In December 2019, the inspection procedures handbooks for metal and nonmetal and coal mines were combined into one handbook, Mine Safety and Health Enforcement General Inspection Procedures Handbook, PH19-IV/V-1, December 2019 (GIPH).

The E01 inspection report reviewed for was documented according to the GIPH. The inspector issued four enforcement actions for violations observed, documented the operator's timely correction of the conditions, and terminated the citations.

Positive findings for this review included:

maintenance shift per day, five days a week. The

washed, sized, and then trucked to the customer.

- For the E01 inspection documentation reviewed, the inspector consistently documented work practices observed.
- The inspector documented safety talks with miners.
- The inspection notes included checklists to assist in the inspection.
- This review did not identify any issues that required a corrective action plan.

Based on the review of the E01 inspection report, Event No. , and discussions with the Field Office supervisor, the enforcement levels appeared to be appropriate for the site.

District	Pineville,	Field Office	Mine ID		Date	
	WV			·		

# Attachment A - Accountability Division Checklist

Some questions may not be applicable to the particular mine site or office and would not be a part of this review.

## Questions 1-13 apply to the regular mine inspection report reviewed and the mine site visit.

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 1	Determine if complete and thorough E01 inspections are being conducted	Adequate – Yes
	and/or if policy and procedures were properly followed.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 2	Determine if documentation for inspections are documented according	Adequate – Yes
	to inspection procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 3	Determine if citations and orders issued during previous inspections were	Adequate – Yes
	properly evaluated for gravity, negligence, level of enforcement,	Corrective Action Needed – No
	number of persons affected, and supported by documentation.	Comments – None
Question 4	Evaluate examination of required records and postings for compliance	Adequate – Yes
	with applicable standards.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 5	Evaluate examination of the operator's maps (on-site) for accuracy, escapeway	Adequate – Not Applicable
	locations, etc.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – The site reviewed is a surface nonmetal mine and maps are not required.

District	Pineville,	Field Office	Mine ID		Date	
	WV			-		

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 6	Evaluate the observation of the mining cycle and conditions in the active working area during the review.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 7	Evaluate the air quantity, quality, and gas checks during the review.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 8	Evaluate the examination of electrical equipment, transformer	Adequate – Yes
	stations, and/or electrical circuits.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 9	Evaluate examination for permissibility during the review.	Adequate – Not Applicable
	permissionity during the review.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – The site reviewed is a surface nonmetal mine and therefore
Question 10	Datarmina if E01 inspections include	has no permissibility requirements.
Question 10	Determine if E01 inspections include examinations of the conveyor belts, belt entries, belt drives, and fire	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No
	detection and suppression systems.	Comments – None
Questions 11	Evaluate, during the review, the inspection of at least one set of seals,	Adequate – Not Applicable
	including methods for obtaining	Corrective Action Needed – No
	samples from sealed area.	Comments – The site reviewed is a surface nonmetal mine. This question applies to underground coal mines only.

District	Pineville,	Field Office	Mine ID	Date	
	WV				

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 12	Determine if close-out discussions are being conducted.	Adequate – Yes
		Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 13	Determine, after an in-mine visit, if approved plans (Ventilation, Roof	Adequate – Not Applicable
	Control, Training, Emergency Response Plan (ERP), etc.) are	Corrective Action Needed – No
	compatible with mining conditions and equipment.	Comments – This site reviewed is a nonmetal mine and does not have
	1 1	approved plans.

# Questions 14-35 apply to Field Office and District specific items.

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 14	Determine if inspectors have sufficient equipment and supplies to	Adequate – Yes
	conduct thorough inspections.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 15	Determine if inspectors have an understanding of when a violation of	Adequate – Yes
	Section 103(a) for Advance Notice occurs and whether appropriate	Corrective Action Needed – No
	citations are issued for Advance Notice.	Comments - None
Question 16	Determine if a proper examination of the Atmospheric Monitoring System	Adequate – Not Applicable
	(AMS) and/or AMS systems that operate Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Corrective Action Needed – No
	sensors is being conducted.	Comments – The site selected for review is a surface nonmetal mine.
		This question applies to underground coal mines only.

District	Pineville,	Field Office	Mine ID	Date	
	WV				

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 17	Determine if proper procedures for conducting, documenting, and reviewing MSHA health inspections are being followed.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No  Comments – None
Question 18	Evaluate the overall condition of the mine relative to the level of enforcement documented in previously completed inspections.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No  Comments – None
Question 19	Determine if the District Manager has made a determination to initiate or decline investigation within 30 calendar days for Possible Knowing/Willful (PKW) Reviews.	
Question 20	Evaluate 103(i) spot inspection (E02) reports for the office/district being reviewed for compliance with agency policies and procedures, including compliance with time frames.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – None  Comments – None
Question 21 Determine if Hazard Complaint inspections/investigations are being conducted according to policy and procedures.		Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No  Comments – None
Question 22	Determine if supervisors are monitoring inspector time and activity to ensure proper use of time, including off-shift and weekend work, by all inspectors.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No  Comments – None

		District	Pineville,	Field Office		Mine ID		Date		
--	--	----------	------------	--------------	--	---------	--	------	--	--

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 23	Determine if required supervisory accompanied activities and office reviews are being conducted and documented according to agency	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No
	policy and procedures.	Comments – None
Question 24	Determine if a 104(d) tracking system is in place and being kept	Adequate – Yes
	current at the office being reviewed.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 25	Determine if the Electronic Mine Files are being maintained and	Adequate – Yes
	reviewed according to current agency policy and procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No
	ngoney process and process and the	Comments – None
Question 26	Determine if supervisors are rotating the mine assignments at least	Adequate – Yes
	annually among inspectors assigned to their Field Office.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 27	Determine if retraining for supervisors, inspectors, and	Adequate – Yes
	specialists is up to date and being tracked.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 28	Determine if District Managers, Assistant District Managers, and	Adequate – Yes
	supervisors are conducting required mine visits and properly completing	Corrective Action Needed – No
	the required spreadsheet.	Comments – None

District	Pineville,	Field Office		Mine ID	Date	
	WV		-	•		

Question Number	Question	Answer		
Question 29	Determine if supervisors are visiting each active underground mine at least annually.	Adequate – Yes  Corrective Action Needed – No		
		Comments – None		
Question 30	Determine if plan reviews are in compliance with current agency	Adequate – Yes		
	policy and procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No		
		Comments – None		
Question 31	Determine if Assistant District Managers are conducting the required second level reviews.			
Question 32	Determine if district management personnel are reviewing work	Adequate – Yes		
	products and reports for accuracy and completeness.	Corrective Action Needed – No		
		Comments – None		
Question 33	Determine if managers and supervisors are using standardized	Adequate – Yes		
	reports to review critical data relevant to inspections and	Corrective Action Needed – No		
	investigations.	Comments – None		
Question 34	Determine if information (mine status, methane liberation, number of	Adequate – Yes		
	employees, etc.) is being entered into the MSHA Standardized Information	Corrective Action Needed – No		
	System (MSIS) accurately and in a timely manner.	Comments – None		

District	Pineville,	Field Office	Mine ID		Date	
	WV			-		

Question Number	Question	Answer		
Question 35	Determine if the district's Roof Control Plan Review Standard	Adequate – Yes		
	Operating Procedures (SOP) follow established Program Policy Manual	Corrective Action Needed – No		
	requirements and include guidance to follow the Roof Control Plan Review Handbook to include:	Comments – None		
	Checking that required information is submitted			
	Checking for communication with other plan approval groups			
	Assuring that designated     MSHA personnel contact the     operator for additional     information			
	Discussing results of on-site evaluations with the operator and identified miners' representatives			